

FAREHAM

BOROUGH COUNCIL

Report to the Executive Member for Public Protection for Decision

Portfolio:	Public Protection
Subject:	Traffic Regulation Order - Proposed Waiting Restrictions – Barbican Mews, Portchester
Report of:	Director of Operations
Strategy/Policy:	
Corporate Objective:	A safe and healthy place to live and work

Purpose: To inform the Executive Member of the outcome of the statutory advertisement of a proposal to introduce waiting restrictions in a length of Barbican Mews and to obtain authorisation to implement a Traffic Regulation Order.

Executive summary: This report considers the reasons for proposing waiting restrictions in Barbican Mews.

Recommendation: That the waiting restrictions as shown at Appendix A are introduced.

Reason: To remove the risk of obstructions and to improve road safety.

Cost of Proposals: The cost of the proposals will be met by Fareham Borough Council's Traffic Management budget.

Risk Assessment: There are no identified risks associated with this proposal.

Appendices Appendix A: Scheme drawing

Executive Briefing Paper

Date: 25 January 2017

Subject: Traffic Regulation Order - Proposed Waiting Restrictions – Barbican Mews, Portchester

Briefing by: Director of Operations

Portfolio: Public Protection

Supporting Information

1. Barbican Mews is a residential cul de sac leading eastwards off Castle Street, shortly to the north of its junction with White Hart Lane.
2. As part of the programme to introduce waiting restrictions in Castle Street in February 2015, restrictions were introduced into the mouth of Barbican Mews. However since then, a number of complaints have been received about parking beyond the restricted area, which includes a road narrowing feature and a right angled bend.
3. Despite it not being appropriate to park on a road narrowing feature, it happens anyway particularly at school opening and closing times, and this parking also takes place around the tight bend which causes concerns on road safety grounds.
4. It was not expected that people would park in these areas when the earlier restrictions were introduced because it was hoped that drivers would exercise greater road safety awareness, but that has not always proved to be the case.
5. Extending the existing restrictions through these features would only involve a fairly short extension to them, but it would provide a significant improvement in road safety. The proposals are shown at Appendix A.

Consultations

6. The Police, Ward and County Councillors have been consulted on this proposal and expressed their support.
7. The Statutory Consultees were consulted and no objections were received.

Representations

8. The proposal was advertised in December 2016 and four responses were received.
9. Three of these were in support, the fourth supported them in part but asked that they could be reduced to accommodate a length of parking. The length where the

reduction was requested is the same length that has been causing concern, and those in support would disagree with this reduction.

Conclusion

10. It is therefore recommended that the proposed waiting restrictions are implemented as advertised and shown at Appendix A.